

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,009	08/25/2003	Amlan Datta	129843.1104	3329
60148	7590 10/25/2006	EXAMINER		INER
GARDERE	/ JAMES HARDIE	MARCANTONI, PAUL D		
GARDERE V 1601 ELM S	WYNNE SEWELL, LLP TREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .	
SUITE 3000 DALLAS, TX 75201			1755	
			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/648,009	DATTA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul Marcantoni	1755			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INTERPOLATION OF THE MAILING INTERPOLATION OF THE MODEL OF TH	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE and date of this communication, even if timely filed 17/06 RCE filing date.	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,  N. nely filed  the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-15 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some color None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1755

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1,5, 6, and 12-15, drawn to synthetic microspheres *only*, classified in class 428, subclass 32.71.
- II. Claims 2,3,7,8, and 9, drawn to cement + microspheres, classified in class106, subclass 705.
- III. Claims 10 and 11, drawn to aluminosilicate additive (e.g. fly ash cenospheres) + microspheres which has use as a building material, classified in class 501 subclass 32.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II and I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product (Group I synthetic microspherers) is deemed to be useful as a *filler* in polymers, putties, wood composites, fillers in clays, and fillers in ceramics (see paragraph [0080] on page 22 of applicants' specification) and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be *obvious variants*.

Inventions II and III are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design,

Art Unit: 1755

mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions do not overlap in scope because they comprise different components and are mutually exclusive. They are also not obvious variants because the both can be used in different products which are mutually exclusive of the other. A mixture of an aluminosilicate additive and microspheres has already shown by applicants themselves to be mutually exclusive. Applicants are referred to paragraph [0080] again on page 22 of their specification wherein they teach microspheres are fillers in clays. Clays are aluminosilicates and thus with the microspheres fall under Group III (claim 10). Claim 11 specifies a mixture or combination of glass microspheres (or ceramic microspheres) and fly ash cenospheres. Yet, this combination or mixture is old in the art for uses for only cement compositions and this mixture of microspheres and cenospheres is old in the art for uses including thermal barrier coatings, brick and tile products (no cement), automobile repair sealants, boat/aircraft repair sealants, catalysts, syntactic foams, buoyancy materials in deep sea exploration, fillers in furniture, etc. They thus also have materially different modes of operation, functions, and effects as shown by their multitude of different uses other than cement compositions.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755